

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,414	TENE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hooman Houshmand	2465	

All Participants:

(1) Hooman Houshmand.

(2) Diana Fu (attorney).

Status of Application: discussed non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 October 2009

Time: 2:25pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as stated in the first office action.

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 8, 25, 29, 32.

Prior art documents discussed:

as stated in the first office action.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hooman Houshmand/
Examiner, Art Unit 2465

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Discussed the possibility of incorporating the limitations of claims 5, 8, and 25 into claim 1; and, incorporating the limitations of claim 32 and claim 8 into claim 29. In addition, discussed various antecedent basis issues; typographical issues; replacing "wherein" with "and" in the independent claims that will be constructed, and also stating on line 3 of claim 1 that a switch is performing the establishing of the connections.